INTRODUCTION

Since the beginning of cochlear implantation in the mid seventies of last century the surgical technique of a mastoidectomy and posterior tympanotomy has been accepted as the classic route for both drilling of the cochleostomy and positioning of the array \[1\]. Alternative surgical implantation techniques have been introduced in favour to minimize surgical trauma and shortening operation time.\[2-3\]. All these alternative approaches have in common that besides the reduced risk of facial nerve injury a classical mastoidectomy with posterior tympanotomy is avoided. The suprameatal technique (SMA) as introduced by Kronenberg et al \[4\] is the most popular as alternative technique for cochlea implantation. The SMA approach involves exposing the middle ear through the external auditory canal and inserting the electrode into the cochlea through a suprameatal tunnel bypassing then mastoid cavity. In this present study we have studied the postoperative status of the mastoid cavity after 79 cochlear implantations by the suprameatal approach method.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

From October 2002 to September 2006, 79 patients with profound deafness were implanted with a cochlear implant. The group documented in a database consisted of 70 adults and 9 children. Gender was 32 men and 47 women with average age of 43.3 years. In 43 cases the right ear was implanted and in 36 cases the left ear. In 69 cases a Implants from Cochlear
Company (11 CI24RCS, 33 CI24RCA and 25 CI24RECA) was implanted and in 10 cases a Advanced Bionics (CI-1400-02H) was used. The pathology for the deafness was in 22 cases progressive sensorineural deafness followed by congenital deafness in 23 cases, meningitis in 12 cases, rubella in pregnancy 8 cases, otosclerosis in 5 cases and 9 cases aetiology unknown. In 2 cases bilateral cochlear implantation was performed. In one child simultaneous and one female adult sequential bilateral implantation. Preoperative and 6 months postoperative CT-scans of the ears were performed according a standard protocol. In all cases a modified suprameatal surgical implantation technique was used as previously described by our team [6,7]. According the work of Gérard et al [8] in adults and Black et al [9] in children we used the small retroauricular C incision in all cases studied.

In 40 cases a mobile x-ray C-arm investigation was used to verify optimal positioning of the electrode in the cochlea intraoperatively. This procedure has been described in detail before. [10] The pre- and postoperative CT-scans were evaluated and changes of the state of the mastoid after surgical cochlear implantation were documented.

Results
The comparison of the preoperative and postoperative CT-scan’s produced in 76 cases demonstrated no abnormalities in the mastoid of the operated ear after cochlear implantation. The delicate structures of the mastoid cavity were kept intact without any sign of mucosal reaction. The suprameatal tunnel showed no signs of postoperative bone or mucosa reaction. (Figures 1-2). Figures 3 to 5 demonstrate clearly no reaction of the mucosa in the mastoid and middle ear after a cochlear implantation. In two cases of implantation for deafness due to otosclerosis swollen mucosa was observed in the mastoid without any clinical relevance. In one case (a child) signs of otitis media of the mastoid and middle ear was observed without destruction of the structures of the mastoid. Treatment with oral antibiotics cured this otitis media without any further reactions in the mastoid later on. The post-implant speech perception scores improved in the whole group studied. The improvement in the phoneme scores on a CVC word testing quiet was evident. The mean CVC phoneme score after 12 months of CI use improved from 25.1% pre-implant (with hearing aid) to 88.4% post-implant. An average gain 63.3 % was obtained.

Discussion
House [1] introduced the classic surgical technique for cochlear implantation. This surgical approach uses a complete mastoidectomy with an attempt to leave a bony overhang posteriorly and superiorly to capture the proximal electrode lead [11,12]. After the complete mastoidectomy, a posterior tympanotomy is performed with special attention to the facial nerve and

![Figure 1. Pre operative CT-scan Pat.3239232](image1)
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Through a large posterior tympanotomy (intraoperative facial nerve monitoring is mandatory) a cochleostomy must be performed for electrode insertion. This classic technique has been proved to be sufficient in the vast majority of cochlear implantations. Still complications concerning the facial nerve and acute otitis media or acute mastoiditis in the implanted ear in adult or children can occur.\[14-15\]. The incidence of otitis media after classic cochlear implantation can vary from 4.6% in non otitis prone children to 22.8% in otitis prone children.\[16\]. In a large series of 366 children given implants the classic approach Kempf et al\[17\] observed in 5.6% during a follow-up period of 1 to 8 years otitis media or mastoiditis as complication. These cases have to be treated by myringotomy or re-opening the retroauricular incision with mastoid revision of the implanted side. Usually implanted patients who developed an otitis media or mastoiditis are treated successfully with oral antibiotics. The incidence of otitis media or mastoiditis leading to the complication requiring explantation of the implant is low.\[18\] In a review paper Roehm & Gantz\[19\] describe that in 14% of the patients with chronic otitis media in which a cochlear implant was placed revision surgery was required following implantation.

In our present study we studied the state of the mastoid cavity by pre- and post-operative ct-scan after 79 supraevaltual surgical cochlear implantation. In this series no postoperative skin reaction or severe mastoiditis was documented. In 76 cases the delicate structures of the mastoid cavity were kept intact without any sign of mucosal reaction. Even the suprameatal tunnel showed no signs of postoperative bone or mucosa reaction. In two cases of implantation for deafness due to otosclerosis same swollen mucosa

Figure 3. An other case demonstrating no reaction of the mucosa in the mastoid and middle ear after a cochlear implantation.

Figure 4-5. Showing the pre and post operative CT-scans demonstrating no pathology in the fine structure of the mastoid after cochlear implantation.
was observed in the mastoid without any clinical relevance. In one case (a child) signs of otitis media with opacification of the mastoid and middle ear was observed without destruction of the structures of the mastoid. Treatment in this case with oral antibiotics cured this otitis media without any rest reactions in the mastoid later on. The less invasive suprameatal surgical approach for cochlear implantation showed that mastoid does not react on the surgical drill out of the suprameatal tunnel. Our observations supports the statement of Bhatia et al [20] that unnecessary manipulation of the mastoid and large skin flaps may contribute sooner to surgical complications. Kronenberg and Migirov [21] demonstrated in a comparison study that nonmastoidectomy approach provides a wide exposure of the middle ear and promontory and thus enables a well-controlled cochleostomy site and safe insertion of the electrode into the cochlea. Mastoidectomy in CI surgery is not indispensable and that it may cause more disadvantages than advantages. Our present study shows that it is possible to safe the structures of the mastoid in cochlear implant surgery. The suprameatal approach can keep the mastoid untouched with all the positive benefits.

Conclusions
We can state that the structures of the mastoid cavity can be kept intact using a mastoid saving suprameatal surgical approach in cochlear implant surgery. In almost all the cases presented we could demonstrate by CT-scan that there was no reaction of the mucosa in the mastoid and middle ear after cochlear implantation. Noninvasive mastoid surgery in cochlear implantation will reduce the possibility of postoperative complications. A mastoidectomy is in our opinion not indispensable for the implantation of a cochlear implant.
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