New Inflammation Parameters in Sudden Sensorineural Hearing Loss: Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio and Platelet-to-Lymphocyte Ratio
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OBJECTIVE: The etiopathogenesis of sudden sensorineural hearing loss (SSNHL) is not clearly defined. Inflammation is being emphasized in its etiology. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR) are the parameters that show inflammation that can be obtained easily without additional cost. In this study, we aimed at delineating the relationship between SSNHL and the inflammation markers NLR and PLR.

MATERIALS and METHODS: This study was performed with 102 patients diagnosed with SSNHL and 119 sex- and age-matched controls. All subjects in the study and the control group had their complete blood count (CBC) results, which were evaluated retrospectively to calculate NLR and PLR values. All patients underwent an audiological examination on the 1st, 3rd, 10th, and 30th days of the hearing loss. All patients received 1 mg/kg IV prednisolone treatment in tapered amounts to be completed in 15 days. Based on the improvements seen in the audiograms, the patients were divided into two groups: responders and non-responders to treatment.

RESULTS: PLR and NLR values of the patient group were significantly higher than in the control group (p<0.001, p<0.001). Furthermore, patients who responded to treatment had significantly higher NLR values than those who did not respond (p=0.010).

CONCLUSION: In this study, NLR and PLR values were found to be significantly high in SSNHL patients. PLR value was investigated for the first time in the literature in SSNHL patients. NLR and PLR values are parameters that aid in the diagnosis of SSNHL. Moreover, SSNHL patients who had higher NLR values responded to the treatment better.
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INTRODUCTION

Sudden sensorineural hearing loss (SSNHL) is generally defined as sensorineural hearing loss of 30-dB or more in three consecutive frequencies within 3 days [1, 2]. SSNHL is one of the emergencies encountered in ear, nose and throat (ENT) practice. Therefore, early diagnosis and treatment are important. A detailed history and physical examination for the differential diagnosis of other causes of hearing loss and the presence of sensorineural hearing loss in the audiological examination establish the diagnosis. For the differential diagnosis of pathologies, like acoustic neuroma, that might lead to sensorineural hearing loss, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) can be used.

The etiology of SSNHL includes vascular, viral, and immune theories. However, its etiopathogenesis has not yet been clearly delineated [3-6]. In studies performed during recent years, systemic stress and inflammation have mainly been held responsible [7-9]. The widespread use of steroids in treatment supports this theory.

The ratio of neutrophils to lymphocytes (NLR) and that of platelets to lymphocytes (PLR) can be calculated with a simple hemogram analysis of a peripheral blood sample. PLR values are found to be high in various peripheral vascular diseases, coronary artery diseases, and certain gynecological and hepatobiliary malignancies, and this has been related with a poor prognosis. NLR increases during systemic inflammation, certain gynecological and gastrointestinal cancers, and some cardiovascular diseases [10-12]. In a recent study, the NLR values of SSNHL patients were found to be high, which was reported as a poor prognostic indicator [13].

In the literature, we could not come across any study that delineated the correlation between SSNHL and PLR. In this study, we aimed at identifying the possible diagnostic and prognostic correlation between NLR, PLR, and SSNHL.
MATERIALS and METHODS
The study included 102 patients diagnosed with and treated for SSNHL from 2005 to 2013 and 119 age- and sex-matched controls. In the study and the control groups, patients with inner ear pathologies that might lead to hearing loss (Meniere’s disease, autoimmune inner ear disease, Cogan syndrome, otosyphilis, etc.), middle ear pathologies (acute otitis media, chronic otitis media, otosclerosis, etc.), and external ear pathologies (external auditory canal osteoma, exocytosis, and otitis externa, etc.) were excluded from the study. Furthermore, patients with systemic diseases, like uncontrolled diabetes mellitus, uncontrolled hypertension, acute coronary artery disease, connective tissue disease in active stage, vasculitis, inflammatory bowel disease, chronic renal failure, and chronic liver failure, were excluded, as well. All patients diagnosed with SSNHL were hospitalized. Complete blood count (CBC), detailed biochemical parameters, serum lipids, thyroid hormone levels, and hepatitis markers were studied on the day of hospitalization, before the initiation of any medical treatment, such as steroids. Furthermore, contrast temporal MRI examination was performed for the differential diagnosis of intracranial and inner ear pathologies. Audiological examinations were performed on the first, third, tenth, and thirtieth day of admission. Audiological examinations were performed with brand audiometry device (AC 40; Interacoustics, Denmark) by the same audiologist and audiometrist team. The hearing thresholds of the patients at 250, 500, 1000, 2000, 4000, and 8000 Hz were recorded. All patients received prednisolone treatment at a beginning dose of 1 mg/kg IV, which was tapered off in 15 days. Patients also received 12 g/day IV piracetam. Patients with a recent history of viral infection also received antiviral treatment (acyclovir 1000 mg/day).

Complete blood count parameters were analyzed with hematology analyzer (Sysmex XE-2100; Kobe, Japan), and hemoglobin, erythrocyte, neutrophil, lymphocyte, platelet counts, and PLR and NLR values were calculated.

Patients were divided into 3 groups according to the response to treatment [14].

Total recovery: Pure-tone average (dB) within 10 dB of initial hearing level or within 10 dB of the hearing level of the unaffected ear

Partial recovery: Pure-tone average (dB) within 50% of initial hearing level or greater than 10 dB improvement of hearing level

No recovery: Less than 10 dB improvement in hearing level relative to the initial hearing level

Subsequently, patients with total and partial recovery were defined as responders, and the others were non-responders.

Statistical Analysis
All statistical analyses of data were carried out using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software (IBM Corporation; USA) Descriptive statistical methods (mean, standard deviation) were used for the evaluation of data. To determine the significance of the differences between two groups, independent t-test and the Mann-Whitney U-test were used. Kruskal-Wallis test was used for the comparison of differences between groups. Spearman and Pearson correlation analysis was used for the correlation analysis to test the relationship of continuous data. Differences were considered significant at p<0.05.

RESULTS
The mean age of the patient group was 48.94±13.86 years, while that of the control group was 47±9.63. The male-to-female ratio was 54/48 in the patient group and 65/54 in the control group. The patient and control groups had similar distributions with regard to age and sex. Characteristic features and hemogram analyses of the patient and control groups are summarized in Table 1.

![Figure 1. The neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio values of patient and control groups](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>48.94±13.86</td>
<td>47±9.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male 54</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female 48</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLR</td>
<td>4.02±3.57</td>
<td>1.32±0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLR</td>
<td>148.59±70.553</td>
<td>95.29±25.509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC</td>
<td>9.07±2.87</td>
<td>7.33±1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophil</td>
<td>6.41±3.01</td>
<td>3.69±1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocyte</td>
<td>2.09±0.95</td>
<td>2.83±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet</td>
<td>263274±64108</td>
<td>259321±64797</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NLR: neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio; PLR: platelet to lymphocyte ratio; WBC: white blood cells
non-responders groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Responders</th>
<th>Nonresponders</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>62(60.8%)</td>
<td>40(39.2%)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC</td>
<td>9.67±3.03</td>
<td>8.15±2.33</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophil</td>
<td>7.12±3.09</td>
<td>5.31±2.20</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocyte</td>
<td>2.07±1.09</td>
<td>2.11±0.67</td>
<td>0.380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet</td>
<td>268032±64373</td>
<td>255900±62546</td>
<td>0.384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLR</td>
<td>4.68±3.72</td>
<td>3.00±2.10</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLR</td>
<td>158.625±78.240</td>
<td>133.048±54.458</td>
<td>0.136</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NLR: neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio; PLR: platelet to lymphocyte ratio; WBC: white blood cells

Responders: Pure-tone average (PTA) (dB) within 10 dB hearing levels of initial hearing level or within 10 dB of the hearing level of the unaffected ear and pure-tone average (dB) within 50% of initial hearing level or greater than 10-dB improvement of the hearing level of the unaffected ear and pure-tone average (dB) within 50% of initial hearing level or greater than 10-dB improvement of hearing level (total recovered + partial recovered groups)

Non-responders: Less than 10 dB improvement in hearing level relative to the initial hearing level (Unrecovered group)

In terms of responders and non-responders to treatment, mean NLR values were 4.68±3.72 and 3.00±2.10, respectively, and the difference was statistically significant (p=0.01) (Figure 3). When PLR values were compared based on treatment responses, the mean PLR value of the patients who responded to treatment was 158.625±78.240, and that of non-responders was 133.048±54.458; this difference was not statistically significant (p=0.136) (Table 2).

No correlation could be established between NLR and PLR values and the elapsed time between the start of the hearing loss and patient admission (p=0.577, p=0.605).

DISCUSSION

The etiopathogenesis of SSNHL has not yet been clearly understood. Systemic stress and inflammation have been underlined in studies performed during recent years [7-9]. White blood cells (WBCs) and the number of their subgroups increase especially during cardiovascular diseases and are used as inflammatory markers [15]. Masuda et al. [9] reported that the increase in neutrophil count was correlated with a poor prognosis for SSNHL patients. Studies performed with inflammatory cytokines, like TNF alpha, interleukin 6, and interleukin 10, demonstrated that these cytokines were not correlated with SSNHL [16, 17].

Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) is calculated by dividing the neutrophil count obtained from the CBC analysis by the lymphocyte count. PLR is calculated in a similar manner by dividing the platelet count by the lymphocyte count. Since both NLR and PLR values can be calculated based on a routine hemogram and do not require any additional cost, it is a low-cost test that can be easily implemented.

Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio is used as a marker showing systemic inflammation [18]. In addition to vascular pathologies, like ischemic cerebrovascular occlusive and acute coronary diseases, NLR also increases during inflammatory diseases, like SSNHL and Bell's palsy [10, 13, 15, 19, 20]. In this study, NLR values prior to initiation of steroid therapy were statistically higher in SSNHL patients than in controls. Likewise, in a study performed by Ulu et al. [20] on SSNHL patients, the mean NLR value was found to be statistically higher in the patient group. In this study, the mean NLR value was 3.96±2.95, while in our study, it was 4.02±3.57. Ulu et al. [20] reported that the NLR value was correlated with an unfavorable prognosis. Different from that study, in our study, the patients who responded to treatment had a mean NLR value of 4.68±3.72, which was significantly higher than that of those who did not respond to treatment (3.00±2.10). We concluded that in our study, patients with higher NLR values had a better response to treatment. Several theories are being put forward on the etiopathogenesis of SSNHL. The NLR value was found to be higher in SSNHL patients who have a predominance of inflammatory factors in their etiology, and they benefited more from the anti-inflammatory treatment (systemic steroids) that was used. In other words, it is possible to foresee that the higher the inflammation marker NLR is, the greater the benefit obtained from the steroid treatment is. This finding should be further investigated with studies having larger patient groups.
Platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio was investigated for the first time in SSNHL patients in this study. Similar to NLR, PLR is also an inflammatory marker that can be studied without any additional cost. In various diseases like myocardial infarction, critical limb ischemia, end-stage renal failure, and ovarian epithelial carcinoma, PLR value can be used as an inflammatory marker and can be correlated with poor prognosis [21,24]. Especially, in new studies performed during recent years, PLR value was reported to correlate with end-organ damage and high morbidity in non-ST myocardial infarction and peripheral vascular diseases [21,25]. In this study, PLR values prior to initiation of steroid treatment were found to be significantly higher in SSNHL patients compared to controls. When the levels of this marker were studied in responder and non-responder groups, no correlation was found with recovery.

The study has a limitation regarding the absence of a cut-off value for NLR and PLR. If the study had been designed with a larger study group, it might have been possible to determine a cut-off value for NLR and PLR, predicting the diagnosis and prognosis of SSNHL.

In conclusion, values of the inflammatory markers NLR and PLR were found to be significantly higher in SSNHL patients in this study. The correlation between PLR value and SSNHL was shown for the first time in the literature. Moreover, SSNHL patients with higher NLR values were found to have a better response to treatment. NLR and PLR ratios are inexpensive and easy to obtain and therefore should be used as helpful diagnostic tools in all patients considered to have SSNHL.
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