Hearing Risk among Young Personal Listening Device Users: Effects at High-Frequency and Extended High-Frequency Audiogram Thresholds
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OBJECTIVE: The usage of personal listening devices (PLDs) is associated with risks of hearing loss. The aim of this study is to evaluate the effects of music exposure from these devices on high-frequency hearing thresholds of PLD users.

MATERIALS and METHODS: A total of 282 young adults were questioned regarding their listening habits and symptoms associated with PLD listening. Their audiogram thresholds were determined at high (3–8 kHz) frequencies and extended high frequencies (EHFs, 9–16 kHz). The preferred listening volumes of PLD users were used to compute their overall 8-h equivalent music exposure levels (LAeq8h).

RESULTS: Approximately 80% of the subjects were regular PLD users. Of these, 20.1% had LAeq8h of ≥75 dBA, while 4.4% of them had LAeq8h of ≥85 dBA, which carries a high risk of hearing damage. Compared with those exposed to LAeq8h of <75 dBA, subjects who had LAeq8h of ≥75 dBA reported a significantly higher incidence of tinnitus and difficulty in hearing others immediately after using PLDs. PLD users who were exposed to LAeq8h of ≥75 dBA and had been using their devices for ≥4 years also showed significantly higher mean audiogram thresholds compared with non-users at most EHF tests. In addition, the thresholds of PLD users at EHF showed a weak but significant positive correlation with their LAeq8h.

CONCLUSION: The present findings suggest that excessive exposure to music among PLD users may lead to initial effects on their hearing at very high frequencies.
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INTRODUCTION
The usage of personal listening devices (PLDs) such as stand-alone MP3 players and other multifunctional electronic devices with an audio playback function (e.g., mobile phones, laptops, and media tablets) has become increasingly popular, particularly among the younger generation [1]. Compared with older technology, current PLDs are highly portable, permit the storage of a large number of digital audio files, and have a longer battery life, which are features that enable users to listen to their favorite songs for longer durations on a regular basis [1]. With the increasing trend in the usage of PLDs, concerns have been raised over the possible impact of these devices on the hearing of listeners [1, 3].

The sound output measured from PLD earphones easily exceed 100 dBA at higher gain settings and are often reported in free-field corrected levels [2-4]. The free-field levels, when taken together with the duration of listening, can be used to calculate the music exposure levels of an individual in time-weighted averages [5]. For an 8 h/day equivalent exposure to free-field noise (LAeq8h), levels between 75 dBA and 85 dBA carry a minimal risk of hearing damage, while levels of >85 dBA carry a high risk of hearing damage [6]. Based on the music levels measured from PLDs, users listening at high volume settings can easily exceed these LAeq8h noise exposure safety limits within a short period [2-4].

Although output levels from PLDs can potentially harm hearing, the extent of hearing damage among PLD users is still unclear [7]. Exposure to loud sounds can lead to a permanent loss of hearing sensitivity, which is termed as noise-induced hearing loss (NIHL). While it is possible to damage one's hearing from a single exposure to an extremely loud sound, most NIHLs are caused by recurring exposure to loud sounds over a period of time. These hearing losses often affect the high-frequency hearing component, which is localized at the basal part of the cochlea. Studies have reported that PLD users have poorer hearing thresholds at high frequencies than non-users [8-11]. Other studies, however, did not find any evidence of NIHL among PLD users [12-16] and audiogram thresholds of PLD users were comparable with those of non-users [13, 16].
With the increasing trend of PLD usage, it is imperative to clearly determine the effects of loud music exposure from these devices on the hearing of listeners. Prior studies often involve a small number of PLD users [10, 11, 13]. In addition, study subjects are often exposed to other noisy recreational activities, and it is often difficult to relate their hearing effects, if any, with the usage of their PLDs alone [6, 9, 17].

The aim of the present study was to assess the hearing status of young PLD users who owned and used their devices (with earphones or headphones) at least once a week in the previous six months. Those who did not own a PLD or listened to their PLDs for less than once a week were considered non-users. On confirming that they were PLD users, further details were obtained regarding the type of device, duration of usage, and time of listening in a typical week. They were also asked to indicate their usual listening volumes by marking on a scale which corresponded to a 0–100% volume setting on their devices. In addition, they were asked about the presence of the following five symptoms immediately after using PLDs: tinnitus, difficulty in hearing others, ear pain, headache, and neck stiffness.

Subjects were excluded from the study if they had a history of ear disorders, had been taking any ototoxic drugs, or if they had regular exposure (more than once in a month) to other sources of loud noise (e.g., discotheques, concerts, music bands, noisy equipment, musical instruments, loud home stereo, and shooting sports). All subjects signed informed consent forms to participate in this study, and the study protocol was approved by our University’s medical ethics committee (Ref No: 714.10).

Hearing Evaluation
All subjects underwent an otoscopic examination and a screening tympanometry (Otowave 102, Amplivox, Oxford, UK) to establish normal outer and middle ear functions. Pure tone audiometry was conducted inside an audiometric booth using a diagnostic audiometer (SD28HF, Siemens, West Sussex, UK), which has a smallest step size of 1 dB. The hearing of the subjects was first screened at frequencies between 0.5 kHz and 2 kHz at 20 dB HL. A detailed audiometry was then conducted at HFs (3–8 kHz) and EHF (9–16 kHz) using the modified Hughson–Westlake procedure. The hearing test was performed using a HDA 200 headphone (Sennheiser, Wedemark, Germany) under similar testing conditions for all subjects, and the sound level in the examination booth was within the permissible levels for audiometric testing. The sound output from the HDA 200 headphone was calibrated using the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) 389-8:2004 Reference Equivalent Threshold Sound Pressure Levels, and hearing thresholds were reported in dB SPL and dB HL. For EHF testing, if a subject failed to detect even the loudest tone produced by the audiometer, the maximum output level was taken as their hearing threshold. The subjects were told to abstain from listening to their devices and exposure to loud noises for 24 h prior to the test.

Estimation of Music Exposure Levels
The listening levels of PLD users were estimated using an iPod test. The subjects listened to an iPod Nano (4th generation, Apple Inc.; Cupertino, CA, USA) playing a 40-s clip of a pre-selected song (“Just like Heaven.mp3” by The Cure) in a quiet room. The iPod was coupled to an insert earphone (MDR-EX51LP, Sony, Tokyo, Japan), and the iPod equalizer was turned off (default setting). The subjects were told to set the volume to their usual listening level without considering their preference to the song, and they were blinded from seeing the volume indicator of the iPod.

The preferred listening levels of the subjects for the 40 s of the test song were measured using the Knowles Electronics Manikin For Acoustics Research (KEMAR) ear-and-cech simulator (43AG, G.R.A.S. Sound and Vibration, Holte, Denmark) connected to a type 1 integrating sound level meter (nor140, Norsonic, Trarby, Norway). The ear simulator was equipped with a right KEMAR pinna (Shore 00-55), and only one recording for each volume setting was done as a trial of repetitive measurements, with the removal and reinserter of the insert phone on the KEMAR ear showed minimal variation (±1 dB) in recorded music levels. The 1/3 octave band sound levels measured from the artificial ear were transformed to equivalent free-field levels using the inverse KEMAR head-related transfer function provided by an earlier study [14], and the overall A-weighted sound levels (LA) were computed. Following procedures by other studies [12, 13], the 8-h equivalent continuous exposure levels (LAEQ) were then calculated using the following formula:

$$L_{A_{eq}} = L + 10 \log_{10}(T/8)$$

where L is the free-field corrected listening level (dBA) and T is the mean daily listening duration (h/day) calculated from total listening duration of the subject in a week.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software 17.0 (SPSS Inc.; Chicago, IL, USA). Data for continuous variables were reported as mean±standard deviation. The differences in listening habits (e.g., listening duration, volume level, and overall music exposure) between genders and audiogram thresholds between the user and non-user groups were tested using the unpaired t test. Chi-square analysis was used to compare categorical variables. The correlation analyses were conducted by Pearson’s correlation test. Significance level was set at p<0.05.

RESULTS
After the exclusion of 19 subjects due to the abnormalities of middle ear function or a history of exposure to other sources of loud noise, a total of 282 (95 males and 187 females) subjects participated in this study. All subjects had hearing thresholds of at least 20 dB HL at 0.5–2 kHz test frequencies. They were aged 22.5±2.5 (range: 18–30 years) and comprised 228 (80.8%) PLD users and 54 (19.2%) non-users. Many PLD users used more than one type of device. The most common types of PLDs used were mobile phones with a music playback function (77.2%), followed by portable computing devices (e.g., laptops) (64.9%), and stand-alone MP3 (including iPods) (45.6%) and CD players (0.4%).

The sound output levels of the 40-s test song recorded at different volume settings (% of maximum volume) from the iPod Nano is shown in Figure 1a, while the frequency spectrum of the test song played at the maximum volume level of the iPod is shown in Figure 2.
1b. After applying the free-field correction, the iPod output levels at 5–100% volume settings ranged from 42.9 dBA to 102.9 dBA and were within the levels reported by others [3, 4].

Table 1 shows the summary of data regarding PLD usage in the user group. There was no significant difference in the duration of PLD usage, average listening time per day, listening volumes, as well as the overall L_{Aeq,8h} between genders. The listening levels shown in Table 1 are based on the following two measures: the self-reported mark-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Summary of data regarding usage of personal listening devices</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>p-value&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subjects, n (%)</td>
<td>79 (34.6)</td>
<td>149 (65.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of usage (years)</td>
<td>Mean ± SD 3.2±1.8</td>
<td>3.1±1.8</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0.5–9.0</td>
<td>0.5–10.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listening time (h/day)</td>
<td>Mean ± SD 1.9±1.7</td>
<td>2.5±2.5</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0.1–8.0</td>
<td>0.1–17.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-reported volume level (%) from maximum setting</td>
<td>Mean ± SD 53.0±24.4</td>
<td>53.8±21.6</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>7–96</td>
<td>7–100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured listening level (dBA)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Mean ± SD 70.3±12.0</td>
<td>69.9±11.3</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>43.0–94.0</td>
<td>45.7–94.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L_{Aeq,8h} (dBA)</td>
<td>Mean ± SD 62.2±13.0</td>
<td>62.6±13.7</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>29.9–89.0</td>
<td>29.5–92.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>A-weighted free-field corrected levels  
<sup>b</sup>unpaired t-test  
SD: standard deviation; NS: not significant ; L_{Aeq,8h}=8 h/day equivalent exposure level

There was a weak but significant positive correlation between measured listening levels and average listening time per day of the subjects (Pearson’s correlation test, r=0.160, p<0.05). From the 228 PLD users, 20.1% (18 males, 28 females) of the subjects had L_{Aeq,8h} of ≥75 dBA, while 4.4% (3 males, 7 females) were exposed to L_{Aeq,8h} of ≥85 dBA, which surpassed the safety limit in the occupational setting; these subjects may be at high risk of developing NIHL [5, 6].

The relationship between L_{Aeq,8h} and self-reported symptoms among PLD users is shown in Table 2. Subjects with L_{Aeq,8h} of ≥75 dBA reported a significantly higher incidence of tinnitus and difficulty in hearing others immediately after their PLD use, compared with those exposed to safe music levels (L_{Aeq,8h}<75 dBA).

When the audiogram thresholds of the subjects at HFs were analyzed, 34 PLD users (14.9%) and 7 non-users (13.0%) had abnormal thresholds (>20 dB HL) at one or more test frequencies in either ear. The chi-square test did not reveal a significant association between the incidence of subjects with abnormal thresholds and usage of PLDs (p=0.8802).

Because there were minimal right–left ear differences in the audiogram thresholds, data from both ears were averaged at individual test frequencies for all subsequent analyses. When the audiogram data from the PLD users with L_{Aeq,8h} of ≥75 dBA (age: 22.6±2.5) were compared with those from the non-users (age: 22.8 ± 2.7), the mean hearing thresholds of the users were significantly higher at the following EHF: 11.2, 12.5, 14, and 16 kHz (Figure 2a). On the other hand, the mean hearing thresholds of users exposed to L_{Aeq,8h} of <75 dBA (age: 22.1 ± 2.4) were only significantly higher than the mean thresholds of the non-users at the highest EHF tested (16 kHz) (Figure 2b). When the duration of PLD usage was taken into account, users with L_{Aeq,8h} of ≥75 dBA and who had been using their devices for ≥4 years (age: 23.2±2.5) had significantly higher thresholds at six of the test frequencies (8, 10, 12, 14, 16 kHz), compared with those who had been using their devices for <4 years (age: 21.6±2.5).
11.2, 12.5, 14, and 16 kHz) compared with the non-users (Figure 2c).

However, those with LAeq8h of ≥75 dBA but who used their PLDs for <4 years (age: 22.0±2.5) did not show any significant difference in their thresholds compared with those of the non-users (Figure 2d). Further statistical analysis showed no significant differences in the age and gender distribution among the different groups.

The averaged left–right hearing thresholds at each test frequency (in dB HL) from all PLD users were pooled from HFs and EHFs and were correlated with LAeq8h of PLD users (Figure 3). While thresholds at HFs did not reveal any relationship with LAeq8h of the subjects (Figure 3a), thresholds at EHFs showed a weak but significant positive correlation with their music exposure levels (Figure 3b). Further correlation analysis between LAeq8h and audiogram thresholds at individual test frequencies of the subjects showed that the correlation was significant at the following three EHFs: 11.2, 12.5, and 14 kHz (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

The present study investigated hearing risk related to PLD usage among a selected population of university subjects who had not been subjected to significant exposure of other loud noise sources. The average listening volume of approximately 70 dBA reported in this study is in close agreement with the mean listening volumes in a quiet background reported by other studies involving teenage and young adult PLD users [3, 17, 19]. In addition, the average listening duration of the subjects in this study is also within the range of 1.5–3.2 h/day reported in previous studies comprising young PLD users [3, 13, 19-21]. In agreement with two recent studies [12, 17], the present study subjects who listened to longer durations also tended to listen at higher volume levels, but this correlation was weak. Contrary to previous reports which reported that males tended to use PLDs at louder volume levels [12, 19, 21], the present study did not reveal any difference in music exposure levels between genders.
While most PLD users appeared to be listening at $L_{Aeq8h}$ of $<75$ dBA for which there is little-known risk of NIHL, approximately 20% of the subjects exceeded $L_{Aeq8h}$ of 75 dBA, which carries a possible risk of hearing damage. These PLD users reported a higher incidence of tinnitus and difficulty in hearing others immediately after using PLDs compared with the non-risk group ($L_{Aeq8h} <75$ dBA). Although these symptoms are suggestive of exposure to hazardous music levels, the evaluation of the hearing of subjects showed that the hearing thresholds of PLD users at risk were comparable with those of non-users at 3–6 kHz test frequencies, which is the frequency region usually affected in cases of NIHL. Clear signs of hearing losses at these frequencies were not detected even in high-risk users with $L_{Aeq8h}$ of $\geq 85$ dBA. These findings are consistent with results reported by other studies.

Compared with non-users, PLD users with $L_{Aeq8h}$ of $\geq 75$ dBA, however, did show poorer mean hearing thresholds at four EHF tested. The changes at the high-frequency hearing thresholds in users with $L_{Aeq8h}$ of $\geq 75$ dBA were more apparent when their duration of PLD usage was taken into account. Compared with the non-users, audiogram thresholds of users with $L_{Aeq8h}$ of $\geq 75$ dBA and who used the devices for $\geq 4$ years were significantly higher at 8 kHz and at five out of the six EHF test frequencies with approximately 4–10 dB differences in
the mean thresholds between both groups. In addition, the hearing thresholds of PLD users at EHFs had a weak but significant correlation with the music exposure levels of the subjects, particularly at 11.2, 12.5, and 14 kHz. Taken together, these findings suggest that while PLD users do not show any clear clinical signs of NIHL at conventional audiogram test frequencies (<8 kHz), unsafe usage of PLDs may lead to subtle early effects on the hearing of subjects at EHFs.

The conclusion of the present study is also supported by results reported elsewhere [8, 11, 12]. An earlier study that involved a smaller group of young adults showed that PLD users have significantly higher hearing thresholds at many EHFs (9–16 kHz) compared with those of age-and-sex matched non-users [11]. Another study among high school students reported a significant correlation of the hearing thresholds of PLD users and their LHFT at two EHFs (11.2 and 14 kHz) [12]. Finally, a recent study that retrospectively analyzed audiogram thresholds from their laboratory database showed that subjects who reported the usage of PLDs for >5 years show significantly higher EHF thresholds (10–16 kHz) than those who used PLDs for shorter durations [8].

How significant are these early effects in the cochlea at EHFs due to music exposure from PLDs? For most individuals, the deterioration of hearing thresholds at very high frequencies will likely go unnoticed as these frequencies are not involved in day-to-day listening. However, the deterioration of hearing thresholds at EHFs may represent an early warning sign of an apparent NIHL [22, 23]. NIHL is an accumulative process, and it is possible for the early effects at EHFs in these subjects to progress into a more severe hearing loss that extends to lower frequencies after many years of music exposure [24]. In addition, subtle hearing damage from excessive music exposures could also have an additive effect on age-related hearing loss [24] and may contribute to the development of permanent tinnitus or hyperacusis in the later part of one’s life [25].

Future follow-up studies should be conducted to ascertain the long-term effects of these devices. In addition, it is necessary to intervene and educate young users at the earliest possible time about long-term hearing risks related to the unsafe use of their PLDs.

Ethics Committee Approval: Ethics approval was received from the University of Malaya Medical Ethics Committee (Ref No: 714.10).

Informed Consent: Written informed consent has been obtained from all participants.

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed.


Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

Financial Disclosure: This study was funded by the University of Malaya Research Grant (UMRG).

REFERENCES

1. Scientific committee on emerging and newly identified health risks. Scientific opinion on the potential health risks of exposure to noise from personal music players and mobile phones including a music playing function; 2008 (Cited 08.10.2014). Available at: http://ec.europa.eu/health/ph_risk/committees/04_scenihr/docs/scenihr_o_017.pdf.

2. Fligor BJ, Cox LC. Output levels of commercially available portable compact disc players and the potential risk to hearing. Ear Hear 2004; 25: S13-27. [CrossRef]


9. Meyer-Bisch C. Epidemiological evaluation of hearing damage related to strongly amplified music (personal cassette players, discotheques, rock concerts)-high-definition audiometric survey on 1364 subjects. Audiology 1996; 35: 121-42. [CrossRef]


